



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/993,007 11/14/2001		Terry Rayburn	1678	5705		
7:	590 07/09/2004		EXAM	INER		
Steven J. Funl			CONTEE, JOY	KIMBERLY		
Sprint Corporat 8140 Ward Parl			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Kansas City, M			2686 •	3		
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JUL 2 0 2004

Technology Center 2600

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
09/993,007 RAYBURN ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joy K Contee	2686					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No	ovember 2001.						
	action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-23,27,30,32 and 33</u> is/are reject	cted.	,					
7) Claim(s) <u>9,24-26,28,29,31</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2001</u> is/ar							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	- · ·	· ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 		d in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • •	d.					
	,						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	6) Other:	кел дривания (РТО-192)					

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1-7,13,14,16,17,19-21,32 and 33 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Friedman, U.S. Patent No. 6,714,791.

Regarding claim 1, Friedman discloses a location-reporting method comprising:

receiving a location-reporting request (i.e., reads on specified event such as User A sending message to other users) from a first mobile subscriber (col. 12,line 60 to col. 13, line 9);

responsive to the request, making a determination that a second mobile subscriber is located in a zone in common (i.e., reads on within a certain proximity to User A) with the first mobile subscriber (col. 13,lines 2-21 and lines 62-67); and

Art Unit: 2686

responsive to the determination, sending a location-reporting message to the second mobile subscriber, the location-reporting message indicating a location of the first mobile subscriber (col. 13,lines 35-49).

Regarding claim 2, Friedman discloses the location reporting method of claim 1, wherein the location reporting message indicates a proximity of the first mobile subscriber to the second mobile subscriber (col. 13,lines 41-45).

Regarding claim 3, Friedman discloses the location reporting method of claim 2, wherein the location-reporting message indicates that the first mobile subscriber is nearby the second mobile subscriber (col. 13, lines 62-67).

Regarding claim 4, Friedman discloses the location reporting method of claim 2, wherein the location reporting message indicates that the first mobile subscriber is in a location in common (i.e., reads on nearby area) with the second mobile subscriber (col. 13, lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 5, Friedman discloses the location reporting method of claim 1, wherein the location reporting message indicates latitude/longitude coordinates of the first mobile subscriber (col. 13, lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 6, Friedman discloses the location reporting method of claim 1, wherein the location reporting message indicates a street address where the first mobile subscriber is located (col. 13,lines 5-9).

Regarding claim 7, Friedman discloses the location reporting method of claim 1, wherein the location reporting message indicates an establishment (i.e., reads on precise location) where the first mobile subscriber is located (col. 13,lines 521).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 14, Friedman discloses the method of claim 1, wherein making a determination that second mobile subscriber is located in a zone in common with the first mobile subscriber comprises:

determining that the first mobile subscriber and the second mobile subscriber are located in a common service area (i.e., reads on nearby area) of a wireless communication system (col. 13,lines 62-67).

Regarding claims 13 and 16, Friedman discloses the method of claim 1, wherein making a determination that the second mobile subscriber is located in a zone in common with the first mobile subscriber, comprises, determing that the second mobile subscriber is located within a predefined distance of the first mobile subscriber (col. 13, lines 35-67).

Regarding claim 17, Friedman discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising presenting the location-reporting message to the second subscriber (i.e., via the buddy list) (col. 13,lines 35-49).

Regarding claim 19, Friedman discloses the location-reporting method of claim 1, wherein receiving a reply from the second mobile subscriber, after sending the location-reporting message to the second mobile subscriber; and in response to the reply, sending a location reporting reply message (i.e., reads on generation of alert to subscriber one) to the first mobile subscriber, the location reporting reply message indicating a location of the second mobile subscriber (col. 13,lines 62-67).

Regarding claim 20, Friedman discloses the location –reporting method of claim 19, wherein the location reporting reply message indicates a proximity of

Art Unit: 2686

the second mobile subscriber to the first mobile subscriber (col. 13,line 61 to col. 14, line 10).

Regarding claim 21, Friedman discloses the method of claim 19, further comprising presenting (i.e., via an alert) the location-reporting reply message to the first subscriber (col. 13,line 61 to 67).

Regarding claim 32, Friedman discloses a system comprising:

a processor (col. 6,lines 1-5);

data storage (col. 5,lines 48-57); and

machine language instructions stored in the data storage and executable by the processor in response to a location-reporting request from a first mobile subscriber, to:

make a determination that a second mobile subscriber is located in a zone common with the first mobile subscriber (col. 12,line 60 to col. 13,line 9); and

responsive to the determination, send a location-reporting message to the second mobile subscriber, the location-reporting message indicating a location of the first mobile subscriber (col. 13,lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 33, Friedman discloses the system of claim 32, wherein the location-reporting message indicates a proximity of the first mobile subscriber to the second mobile subscriber (col. 13,lines 35-49).

3. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dailey, U.S. Patent No. 6,377,560.

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 30, Dailey discloses a location-reporting method comprising: receiving from a first mobile subscriber a request to report the first mobile subscriber's location; responsive to the request, identifying a group of mobile subscriber's associated with the first mobile subscriber; with respect to each mobile subscriber in the group, determining whether the mobile subscriber is located in a zone in common with the first mobile subscriber and, if so, sending a location-reporting message to the mobile subscriber, the location-reporting message indicating a location of the first mobile subscriber; receiving from at least a given mobile subscriber in the group a reply to the location-reporting message; and responsive to the reply, sending to first mobile subscriber a location-reporting reply message indicating a location of the given mobile subscriber (col. 3, lines 20-39 and col. 4, line 55 to col. 5, line 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8,10-12,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman, in view of Jackson et al. ("Jackson"), U.S. Patent No. 6,477,387.

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 8, Friedman discloses the limitations of claim 1. Friedman fails to explicitly disclose a map.

In a similar field of endeavor, Jackson discloses wherein the location reporting message includes a map depicting where the first mobile subscriber is located (col. 3,lines 29-45).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Friedman to include an interactive GUI terminal with display for the purpose of allowing the user to visually see where members of the group are located.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Friedman discloses the limitations of claim

1. Friedman fails to disclose in response to the request, querying a group data store to identify the second mobile subscriber, nor wherein querying a group data store to identify the second mobile subscriber comprises identifying one or more mobile subscribers defining a group associated with the first mobile subscriber, the second mobile subscriber being a member of the group.

Jackson discloses in response to the request, querying a group data store to identify the second mobile subscriber, and wherein querying a group data store to identify the second mobile subscriber comprises identifying one or more mobile subscribers defining a group associated with the first mobile subscriber, the second mobile subscriber being a member of the group (col. 6,lines 36-67).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Friedman to include means for querying a group data

Art Unit: 2686

store for the purpose of allowing the user to search for specific communication units or groups.

Regarding claim 12, Friedman as modified by Jackson discloses the location reporting method of claim 1, wherein the group is an instant messaging buddy list established for the first subscriber (see Friedman, col. 13, lines 1-9).

Regarding claim 15, Friedman discloses the limitations of claim 14, but fails to explicitly disclose the method wherein the common service area is an area selected from the group consisting of a cell and a sector (reads on geographical area) (col. 3,lines 46-61).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Friedman to include geographical cells or sectors for the purpose of determining grouping by common celluar zones.

6. Claims 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman, in view of Saint-Hilaire et al. ("Saint-Hilaire"), U.S. Patent No. 6,504,503.

Regarding claims 18 and 22, Friedman discloses the limitations of claims 17 and 19, but fails to disclose indicating of age of the location reporting reply message.

In a similar field of endeavor, Saint-Hilaire discloses prioritizing data based on reliability and time (col. 6,lines 49-55).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Friedman to include an indication of age of the location

Art Unit: 2686

reporting reply message such that the buddy list is always current as suggested in Saint-Hilaire.

7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman, in view of Alperovich et al. ("Alperovich"), U.S. Patent No. 6,119,014.

Regarding claim 23, Friedman discloses the limitations of claim 1, but fail to disclose sending the location reporting message as text in an SMS message to the second mobile subscriber.

In a similar field of endeavor, Alperovich discloses sending the location reporting message as text in an SMS message to the second mobile subscriber (col. 6,lines 5-10).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Friedman to include SMS text messaging for the purpose of sending text messages based on the location of the receiving mobile as taught in Alperovich.

8. Claims 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman, in view of Greene, U.S. Patent No. 6,668,173.

Regarding claim 27, Friedman discloses a location-reporting method just as applied in independent claim 1 above. Friedman additionally suggests sending positional data only to a specified subset of users e.g., those on the buddy list (col. 13, lines 1-9). Friedman fails to explicitly disclose making a determination of whether reporting of the first mobile subscriber's location to the second mobile subscriber is blocked.

In a similar field of endeavor, Greene discloses the capability of indicating that the status and/or location of an user be optionally turned off by the user for privacy reasons (i.e., reads on subscriber being blocked for communication) (col. 5,lines 21-24).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Friedman to include if the determination is that reporting of the first mobile subscriber's location to the second mobile subscriber is not blocked, then reporting the first mobile subscriber's location to the second mobile subscriber provided that the second mobile subscriber is located in a zone in common with the first mobile subscriber.

Motivation for doing so would have been for the purpose of addressing the subscriber in a buddy list or the like that maybe offline as is even suggested in Friedman's Fig. 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 9,24-26,28,29 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K Contee whose telephone number is

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/993,007

Art Unit: 2686

703-308-0149. The examiner can normally be reached on M (alternating), T & Th, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joy Contee

June 23, 2004

CHARLES APPIAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. O9/993,007 Examiner Joy K Contee Applicati(s)/Patent Under Reexamination RAYBURN ET AL. Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification		
	Α	US-6,714,791	03-2004	Friedman, Jackie	455/456.1		
	В	US-6,477,387	11-2002	Jackson et al.	455/519		
	С	US-6,377,560	04-2002	Dailey, Timothy E.	370/329		
	D	US-6,668,173	12-2003	Greene, Kenneth Philip	455/445		
	Ε	US-6,119,014	09-2000	Alperovich et al.	455/466		
	F	US-6,504,503	01-2003	Saint-Hilaire et al.	342/357.09		
	G	US-					
	Н	US-					
	1	US-					
	J	US-					
	к	US-					
	L	US-					
	М	US-					

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Ν	·				
	0					
	Ρ					
	ď					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)					
	U						
	٧						
	w						
	x						

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

بسا	, •								D	Ste	erles	e Caped	11 of 1	
oress Mail No. EL604654849US ORM PTO-1449				U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office		e \	Atty. Docket No.			Serial No.				
CT ATEMS				ION DISCLOSURE IT BY APPLICANT sheets if necessary)			4	Applicant: Terry Rayburn and Michael			hael N	1cMulle	nn O	
	•		•					Filing Date: November 14, 2001			Group: a Logo will be		/993007 /993007	
			•	U.S.	PATENT DOC	CUMENTS							1082	
Exan Ini			Docum	₃nt Number	Date	N	lame		Class	Subc	lass	Da	ling ate if opriate	
						-								
					IGN PATENT	DOCUME	NTS		<u> </u>					
			t t	FORE	IGNIFATER			T				Trans	ranslation	
	Document N		umber	Date		Country		Class		Subclass		No		
								-						
			ОТНІ	.R DOCUMENTS (I	ncluding Autho	or, Title, Da	ate, Per	tinen	t Pages, E	Etc).				
7	1.	Inve	rtix Corporation II	I Anywhere Privacy n/products_features	Management S _privacymanag	System, jement.htm	l, printe	d from	n the Worl	d Wide	Web o	n Augus	t 14, 2001.	
	2.	Inve	etiv Comoration	1 Anywhere Mobile	Buddy List Feat	lures, ist biml pri	nted fro	m the	World Wi	de Web	on Au	gust 14,	2001.	
http://www.invertix.co n/products_features_mobilebuddylist.html, printed from http://www.invertix.co n/products_features_mobilebuddylist.html, printed from form nications, iFollow Products Family, http://www.followap.com/shtml/ifollow_main.shtml, printed from World Wide Web on August 14, 2001. Wireless Developer letwork, Addition of Location Management to Wireless IM Set to Drive GPRS Adoption, http://www.wirelessd.evnet.com/news/2001/23/news2.html, printed from the World Wide Web on August 14, 2001.														
	4.	http	D://www.wirelessu	741104.00111111	Dordo	doce Privac	v?. http	://gar	ld Wide W tner11.gar	eb on A tnerweb	.com/p	oublic/sta	atic/hotc/	
V	5.	Ga	rtnerGroup, Yaho 00088645.html, p	o!Find-a-Friend: Wi inted from the Worl	d Wide Web on				SIDERED	6	19	11		
Ε	XAMINI	ER () Cort	40	r or not citatio					609;	Draw	line thro	ough citation	

EXAMINER: Initial if citation or asidered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation not in conformance and not cor sidered. Include copy of this form with next communication.